

EXHIBIT A

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

CASE NO. 2:24-CV-00093-JRG-RSP

VIRTAMOVE, CORP.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

HEWLETT PACKARD ENTERPRISE COMPANY,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 2:24-CV-00064-JRG-RSP

VIRTAMOVE, CORP.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORP.,

Defendant.

VIDEOTAPED / REALTIMED DEPOSITION OF

DR. ANGELOS STAVROU

(Conducted Remotely)

FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 7, 2025

9:03 a.m. CST

Reported by: Pat English-Arredondo, CSR, RMR, CRR

Job No. 10232

1 A P P E A R A N C E S

2 (All appearing remotely)

3 COUNSEL ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF:

4 Mr. James A. Milkey (via Realtime)

RUSS AUGUST & KABAT

12424 Wilshire Boulevard, 12th Floor

Los Angeles, California 90025

310.826.7474

jmilkey@raklaw.com

7 COUNSEL ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT, HEWLETT PACKARD:

8 Mr. Samuel Kassa (via Realtime)

BAKER BOTTS

700 K Street

9 Washington, DC 20001

202.639.7700

10 sam.kassa@bakerbotts.com

11 COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS
MACHINES CORP.:

12 Mr. Nate Ngerebara (via Realtime)

13 Ms. Yimeng Dou (via Realtime)

KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP

14 555 California Street

San Francisco, California 94104

415.439.1371

15 Nate.ngerebara@kirkland.com

Yimeng.dou@kirkland.com

16 Mr. Kyle Calhoun (via Realtime)

17 KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP

18 555 California Street

San Francisco, California 94104

415.439.1400

19 Kyle.calhoun@kirkland.com

20 VIDEOGRAPHER:

21 Ms. Betsy Gomez, TransPerfect

22 CERTIFIED STENOGRAPHIC / REALTIME REPORTER:

23 Pat English-Arredondo

24 CSR(TX), RMR, CRR, CLR

1 Q. Okay. Did you meet with anyone else in
2 preparation for today's deposition?

3 A. Not beyond the lawyers from Kirkland &
4 Ellis and Baker Botts.

5 Q. Okay. And approximately how long did you
6 spend preparing for today's deposition?

7 A. I was here the past few -- past two days.
8 We didn't work the entire day. And, you know, I
9 would say two days but, you know, not the entire
10 time. Let's put it this way.

11 Q. Okay. And how long did you spend in
12 forming your opinions set forth in your declarations
13 in these proceedings?

14 A. Oh, we spent months because this -- I
15 think -- I believe this started sometimes middle of
16 last year where I got involved in reading the
17 patents and going through the claims and the
18 specification of the patents.

19 So I would say it's been a few months
20 now.

21 Q. Okay. Do you have an estimate of how
22 many hours you've spent over those few months?

23 A. I would not be precise, but I spent some
24 time on each of the patents. So I cannot give you
25 an exact estimate. I would have to look at my

1 records, and I don't have them in front of me.

2 Q. Okay. Understood.

3 So if we could look at your declaration
4 regarding the IBM counterclaim patents. And this is
5 Exhibit 1.

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. And turning to Page 20, which is the
8 first claim term, which is (as read): "A system ...
9 created during installation ... removed as part of
10 an uninstall."

11 Do you see that?

12 A. Just give me a second. I'm trying to
13 navigate there. Yes.

14 Q. Okay. And then going to Paragraph 49 on
15 Page 21, you state in that paragraph that (as read):
16 "The claim language itself provides clarity to a
17 POSITA indicating that 'the one or more isolated
18 environments are created during installation of the
19 one or more applications' and that, 'the one or more
20 isolated environments are copied to storage and then
21 removed as part of an uninstall of the one or more
22 applications.'"

23 Do you see that?

24 A. Yes, that's correct.

25 Q. Okay. So you agree that in order to

1 practice this limitation, the one or more isolated
2 environments must be created during installation of
3 the one or more applications. Correct?

4 MR. NGEREBARA: Objection, form.

5 A. Can you please repeat the question just
6 to make sure that I have it correctly?

7 Q. (By Mr. Milkey) Yeah. So in order to
8 practice the limitation that you're discussing in
9 this section of your report starting on
10 Paragraph 46, you agree that the one or more
11 isolated environments must be created during
12 installation of the one or more applications.

13 Correct?

14 MR. NGEREBARA: Objection, form.

15 A. That is correct.

16 Q. (By Mr. Milkey) Then turning to Page 24
17 of your declaration, Exhibit 1, do you see this is
18 where you address the claim term, "the system
19 resources"?

20 A. That's correct.

21 Q. Okay. And so my question is -- and,
22 sorry, before I get into this, do you have a copy of
23 the '500 patent?

24 A. I don't have one. If you can introduce
25 the exhibit, I would be able to open it.

1 Q. Yeah, I will provide that.

2 And so this is introduced as Exhibit 3
3 the, US Patent No. 8,943,500. And, Dr. Stavrou, is
4 it okay if we refer to this as the '500 patent?

5 A. Sure.

6 (Marked was Stavrou Exhibit No. 3.)

7 Q. (By Mr. Milkey) If you could open up
8 Exhibit 3, the '500 patent, and go to the very last
9 page of it, there is Claim 19. Just let me know
10 when you're there.

11 A. 50?

12 Q. Correct?

13 A. 50?

14 Q. Correct, yeah. Column 14, Line 50.

15 A. Okay.

16 Q. And so you see in Claim 19 it refers to
17 "the system resources."

18 And so my question is, what provides
19 antecedent basis for the term "the system resources"
20 in Claim 19?

21 A. So as we see here, this is a dependent
22 claim and it depends on Claim 18.

23 In Claim 18, I believe -- let me
24 check -- the word "additional resources" is included
25 as part of Claim 18, which is on the same -- on 14,

1 I believe, 39.

2 And a person of ordinary skill in the art
3 would have understood that basically the system
4 resources that are referred to in Claim 19 are
5 connected to the additional resources that are
6 mentioned in Claim 18.

7 THE REPORTER: Excuse me, Doctor.

8 Did you say "are" or "aren't"?

9 THE WITNESS: They are. I apologize.

10 They are.

11 Q. (By Mr. Milkey) Okay. So your opinion
12 is that these system resources of Claim 19 refers to
13 the additional resources that Claim 18 recites. Is
14 that correct?

15 A. My opinion is that the patent recites
16 maintain mapping between the system resource and
17 out -- inside and outside the one or more isolated
18 environments in outside.

19 The system resources -- first (as read):
20 "the system resources inside the one or more
21 isolated environments and outside the -- is the
22 isolated environment," as recited in the claims.

23 So that here, to realize is that the
24 additional resources does not require an exhaustive
25 list of full system resources, other resources

1 basically specifically mentioned by the claims,
2 which is basically explicit.

3 Also, if you want me to elucidate, I'm
4 happy to go on. You let me know.

5 Q. Yeah, I'm not quite sure I understand.

6 You previously testified, I thought, that the system
7 resources of Claim 19 was related to the additional
8 resources of Claim 18?

9 A. I don't think that's correct. What I
10 said is -- again, and I will repeat it just to be on
11 the same.

12 Resources basically -- the term "system
13 resources inside and outside the one or more
14 isolated environments" indicates to a POSITA that
15 claims contemplate any system resources that would
16 satisfy the requirements of Claims 19 and -- 18 and
17 19, rather than requiring an exhaustive list of all
18 system resources.

19 So I want to clarify here that I didn't
20 mean an exhaustive list of all system resources but
21 specifically what I just mentioned.

22 Q. Okay. So when Claim 19 recites "the
23 system resources inside the one or more isolated
24 environments," does that mean the same thing as any
25 system resources inside the one or more isolated

1 environments?

2 MR. NGEREBARA: Objection, form.

3 A. I believe that I just mentioned that we
4 want to -- the term refers to both -- to system
5 resources inside the one or more isolated
6 environments and so -- and outside.

7 So it is -- it is important to maintain a
8 mapping between the system resource inside the one
9 or more isolated environments and outside.

10 So here, again, the patent specifications
11 confirm my understanding that the specification
12 provides examples of system resources, memories,
13 storage, and CPUs. CPUs that can be mapped from
14 outside to inside.

15 Q. (By Mr. Milkey) Okay. But it doesn't
16 have to be all of those system resources inside and
17 outside that have to be mapped. Correct?

18 A. Are you referring to -- I apologize. Can
19 you please clarify?

20 Do you refer to the system resources
21 inside or outside, so I can answer the question?

22 Q. I'm referring to both. So just backing
23 up a second.

24 The claim requires instructions for
25 maintaining mapping between the system resources

1 inside the one or more isolated environments and
2 outside.

3 So that we're on the same page, do you
4 understand this to require a mapping between system
5 resources inside the one or more isolated
6 environments, on the one hand, and
7 outside -- sorry -- and system resources outside the
8 system -- let me reask that.

9 Do you understand Claim 19 to require
10 instructions for maintaining mapping between, on the
11 one hand, system resources inside the one or more
12 isolated environments and, on the other hand, system
13 resources outside the one or more isolated
14 environments?

15 A. I think the argument here is reversed.
16 You need to be able to map resources outside of the
17 isolated environment to resources inside the
18 isolated environment because the outside has usually
19 more resources.

20 And it's allocating -- in this case not
21 allocating but mapping these resources inside the
22 isolated environments. So here the key component is
23 that the additional resources that are mentioned in
24 Claim 18 are further elucidated in Claim 19 by
25 basically mapping between the system resources

1 inside the one or more isolated environment and
2 outside.

3 So of course here you can -- the
4 mapping -- the mapping is reciprocal, so it doesn't
5 matter if you talk about inside or outside.

6 THE REPORTER: Excuse me, Doctor.

7 You're going to have to slow down.

8 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry about that.

9 A. The mapping has two elements, so it
10 doesn't matter if you recite the first element,
11 which is inside in this case, to the outside.

12 So either you sit inside
13 mapping -- it's -- in reality the mapping refers to
14 resources on two ends. So it doesn't matter if you
15 start from the inside or the outside.

16 Q. (By Mr. Milkey) Okay. Understood.

17 A. I just want to be very clear. It's not
18 resources on the inside necessarily that map to the
19 outside. It can also be the reverse.

20 Q. Correct. That makes sense.

21 So my question, then, is: The claim does
22 not require, in your opinion, instructions for
23 maintaining mapping between all of the system
24 resources inside the one or more isolated
25 environments and all of the system resources outside

1 the one or more isolated environments. Correct?

2 MR. NGEREBARA: Objection, form.

3 A. As I read it, it does not make a
4 specification either way.

5 Q. (By Mr. Milkey) Okay. In your opinion,
6 does the claim require instructions for maintaining
7 mapping between just some of the system resources
8 inside the one or more isolated environments and
9 some of the system resources outside the one or more
10 isolated environments?

11 MR. NGEREBARA: Objection, form.

12 A. The way that I personally read it is that
13 there are resources, system resources, that are
14 being mapped. It's a generic term.

15 Now, I don't know if it's going to be all
16 the resources inside with all the resources outside
17 or if it's going to be some of the resources inside;
18 but the claim, as it's written, it's not restrictive
19 in that sense.

20 But at the same time, again, there are
21 finite resources, system resources; and the examples
22 that I provided of system resources that include
23 memory, storage, and CPUs, are very traditional
24 system resources that are being used to create these
25 isolated environments.

1 Now, I don't know if there are
2 potentially -- the examples are not restrictive, so
3 maybe there are other resources that also can be
4 mapped.

5 But it's -- I would have understood it in
6 the plain and ordinary meaning of the system
7 resources, which is just a straightforward term that
8 does not require any -- any further, you know,
9 elucidation. Let's put it this way.

10 Q. (By Mr. Milkey) Okay. So for Claim 19,
11 for the limitation of Claim 19, is that satisfied if
12 there are instructions for maintaining mapping
13 between at least some system resources inside the
14 one or more isolated environments and at least some
15 system resources outside of the one or more isolated
16 environments?

17 MR. NGEREBARA: Objection, form.

18 A. Again, the specific claim does not
19 mention any of that in the sense that it does
20 not -- it doesn't limit itself. It can be all or it
21 can be some. I don't see a way that restricts
22 itself to -- you know, there is no qualifier here.

23 But, as I said, it's very obvious to me,
24 based on the spec, that the resources are
25 quantifiable term in our field.

1 And basically the mapping -- it basically
2 talks about mapping, which is actually -- as I said,
3 it is tied clearly to the fact that in Claim 18
4 there is the need of additional resources.

5 So these resources, these additional
6 resources are being provided by Claim 19 where, you
7 know, it talks about a mapping between a system
8 resources inside the one or more isolated
9 environments and outside.

10 Q. (By Mr. Milkey) Okay. And if you could
11 turn to Page 27 of Exhibit 1.

12 A. Give me just one second. I'm there.

13 Q. And you see this is where you begin
14 analyzing "appropriate for infrastructure
15 configuration mapping." Correct?

16 A. That's correct.

17 Q. Okay. Okay. And I want to turn to -- on
18 the next page in Paragraph 69, toward the bottom of
19 that paragraph you have a sentence that states (as
20 read): "Similarly, whether there is a conflict
21 between source and cloud infrastructure is an
22 objective analysis for the POSITA."

23 Do you see that?

24 A. Correct.

25 Q. Okay. How would a POSITA perform the

1
2 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
4 MARSHALL DIVISION

5 CASE NO. 2:24-CV-00093-JRG-RSP

6 VIRTAMOVE, CORP.,

7 Plaintiff,

8 vs.

9 HEWLETT PACKARD ENTERPRISE COMPANY,

10 Defendant.

11 CASE NO. 2:24-CV-00064-JRG-RSP

12 VirtaMove, CORP.,

13 Plaintiff,

14 vs.

15 INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORP.,

16 Defendant.

17 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION
18 VIDEOTAPED / REALTIMED DEPOSITION OF
19 DR. ANGELOS STAVROU
20 FEBRUARY 7, 2025

21 I, Pat English-Arredondo, CSR, RMR, CRR, CLR,

22 Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of

23 Texas, hereby certify to the following:

24 That the witness, DR. ANGELOS STAVROU, was duly
25 sworn by the officer and that the transcript of the
 oral deposition is a true record of the testimony

1 given by the witness;

2 I further certify that pursuant to FRCP Rule
3 30(f)(1) that the signature of the deponent:

4 X was requested by the deponent or a party
5 before the completion of the deposition and returned
6 within 30 days from date of receipt of the
7 transcript. If returned, the attached Changes and
8 Signature Page contains any changes and the reasons
9 therefor;

10 _____ was not requested by the deponent or a party
11 before the completion of the deposition.

12 I further certify that I am neither counsel for,
13 related to, nor employed by any of the parties or
14 attorneys in the action in which this proceeding was
15 taken, and further that I am not financially or
16 otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.

17 Certified to by me this 11th day of February,
18 2025.

19 _____
20 Pat English-Arredondo,
CSR (TX), RMR, CRR, CLR
Texas CSR 3828
21 Expiration Date: 4/30/2026

22 TransPerfect Legal Solutions
216 East 45th Street, Suite 903
23 New York, NY 10017
T 212-400-8845
24 Depo@TransPerfect.com

25 Job No. 10232